



TITLE:

BENFORD'S LAW FOR LINEAR RECURRENCE SEQUENCES(Transcendental Numbers and Related Topics)

AUTHOR(S):

NAGASAKA, KENJI; SHIUE, JAU-SHYONG

CITATION:

NAGASAKA, KENJI ...[et al]. BENFORD'S LAW FOR LINEAR RECURRENCE
SEQUENCES(Transcendental Numbers and Related Topics). 数理解析研究所講究録 1986,
599: 33-54

ISSUE DATE:

1986-10

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/99590>

RIGHT:

BENFORD'S LAW FOR LINEAR RECURRENCE SEQUENCES

BY

KENJI NAGASAKA (長坂 建二)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

FACULTY OF EDUCATION

SHINSYU UNIVERSITY

NISHI-NAGANO, NAGANO

380, JAPAN

AND

JAU-SHYONG SHIUE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, LAS VEGAS

4505, MARYLAND PARKWAY

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

89154, U.S.A.

TRANSCENDENTAL NUMBER THEORY AND ITS RELATED TOPICS

RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

KYOTO UNIVERSITY, 23.4-25.4(1986)

1. INTRODUCTION

It has long been known empirically that in most statistical tables expressed in decimal form, the proportion of numbers with the first significant digit less than or equal to k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, 9$) is approximately $\log_{10}(k+1)$. The base of logarithms is

taken to be 10 , unless otherwise stated. We do not admit 0 as a possible first digit, thus the nine first digits, without regard to position of decimal point , do not occur with equal frequency, and many observed tables give a frequency for the occurrence of a given first digit k approximately equal to $\log_{10}(k+1)/k$. Thus the first significant figure is oftener 1 than any other digit , and the frequency diminishes up to 9.

This peculiar logarithmic distribution of first digits, while not universal , is so common and yet so surprising at first glance that it has given rise to a varied literature , among the authors of which are mathematicians, statisticians, economists, engineers, physicists and amateurs . Simon Newcomb [RR] formulated this law without any actual numerical data . Frank Benford [EE] made many counts from a large body of actual data and numerical tables , owing to which this peculiarity is known as Benford's law after his name.

Several authors, such as W. H. Furry and Henry Hurwitz [II] , S. A. Goudsmit and Furry [KK] , Roger S. Pinkham [SS] and Ralph A. Raimi [TT] have sought the explanation of this phenomenon by assuming that all physical constants are selected from a population with some scale-invariant underlying distribution and have shown that certain assumptions about this distribution lead to the logarithmic law.

Some writers have pointed out that tables which occur naturally often represent distributions which are mixtures or composite of other distributions , and that the mixing process itself improves the approximation to Benford's law . If X_i are identically distributed independent random variables , consider their

product $Y_N = \prod_{n=1}^N X_i$. The central limit theorem then shows that the

random variable $\log Y_n \pmod{1}$ approaches uniform distribution as n increases. Thus Y_n tends to obey Benford's law. Furry and Hurwitz [II] stated this result in terms of convolution. A. K. Adhikari and B. P. Sarkar [AA] and Adhikari [BB] proved this result directly for a special distribution and gave a partial converse on scale invariance.

R. W. Hamming [LL] adopts the finite point of view of a computing machine with floating point arithmetic and showed Benford's law.

Thus Benford's law, started as an empirical law, has been studied in various areas, such as probability theory, statistics, computer arithmetics, etc. And Goudsmit and Furry [KK], Furry and Hurwitz [II] and Warren Weaver [XX] recognize "Benford's law is merely the result of our way of writing numbers", or "Benford's law is a built-in characteristic of our number system", or something like that.

With this underlying philosophy, B. J. Flehinger [HH] considered that the smallest population which contains the set of significant figures of all possible physical constants, past, present and future, must be the set of all positive integers. The explanation of Benford's law should, therefore, lie in the properties of the set of integers as represented in a radix number system.

As far as we consider the distribution of the first significant digits, it is quite natural to restrict ourselves to the set of all positive integers. Thus we adopt the set of all positive integers as a model of the population, which would contain significant numbers of all possible numerical constants. From this population, we sample integers according to a certain sampling procedure and determine whether Benford's law holds or not for the resulting sampled integer sequences.

Benford's law was proved to be true for a geometrically sampled integer sequences $\{2^n\}$, as an application of an ergodic dynamical system in [DD] and also in [UU]. It is clear that the first digit of an integer a_n is equal to k if and only if

$$k \cdot 10^m \leq a_n < (k + 1) \cdot 10^m$$

for some nonnegative integer m . Then the uniform distribution mod 1 of $\{\log a_n\}$ is a sufficient condition for Benford's law to hold for $\{a_n\}$. By making use of this criterion, we treat general geometrical sequences $\{c \cdot r^n\}$, where c and $r \neq 1$ are positive integers and prove Benford's law except for some special cases.

The definition of uniform distribution mod 1 is as follows:

DEFINITION. A sequence (x_n) of real numbers is said to be uniformly distributed mod 1, if, for every real number a and b with $0 \leq a < b < 1$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_N([a, b); (x_n))}{N} = b - a,$$

where $A_N([a, b); (x_n))$ is the number of indices n between 1 and N for which the fractional part $\{x_n\}$ falls in $[a, b)$.

Linear recurrence sampling procedures have been considered with a special reference to Fibonacci numbers. R. L. Duncan [GG] proved that the sequence $\{\log F_n\}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1, where F_n is the n -th Fibonacci numbers, which signifies that Benford's law holds for Fibonacci numbers. L. Kuipers [NN] gave another proof of Duncan's result. John L. Brown, Jr. and R. L. Duncan [FF] and L. Kuipers and Jau-Shong Shiu [OO] extended the results in [GG] and [NN] and proved that the sequence $\{V_n\}$ obeys

Benford's law , where V_n satisfies a linear recurrence formula with some restrictions.

K. Nagasaka [PP] succeeded in extending these results and removed unnecessary restrictions on linear recurrence formulae . We pointed out that the condition on the roots of maximum modulus of the characteristic equation for the corresponding linear recurrence formula is essential and indispensable.

Nagasaka and J.-S. Shiue [QQ] give another proof of results in [PP] by using one of van der Corput's difference theorems and extended them to the case that the roots of maximum modulus of the characteristic equation are purely imaginary . In the case that the characteristic equation has two conjugate complex roots of maximum modulus , it is not known whether Benford's law holds for the sequence $\{V_n\}$, but the asymptotic distribution function mod 1 of the sequence $\{V_n/V_{n+1}\}$ is represented explicitly by Péter Kiss and Robert F. Tichy [MM] , where V_n satisfies such a second order recurrence.

Another typical integer sequence satisfying Benford's law is the sequence $\{n!\}$, [JJ] . Sequences treated above increase rapidly , at least of exponential order . Slowly increasing integer sequences such as prime numbers , polynomials, do not have the limiting frequency distribution for the occurrences of a given first digit k . Thus we need other summation methods.

Fleehinger considered successive cumulative averages (Hölder sums) calling their limit the Banach limit . Roy L. Adler and Alan G. Konheim [CC] proved that the Banach limit is a finitely additive measure on the set of all positive integers and assigns zero measure on every finite subset of positive integers. We [PP]

applied the Banach limit to the sequence $\{P_n\}$ of positive integers generated by a polynomial and proved that Benford's law holds for it in the sense of the Banach limit. The proof needs a long calculation technique on complex analysis.

Peter Schatte named the Banach limit the H_ω -summation method and considered the mantissa distribution in [VV], which is a general notion including Benford's law. He remarked that our result above for polynomial integer sequences $\{P_n\}$ may be obtained as an application of his theorem 8.3 in [VV] and got a quantitative result as to the speed of convergence [WW].

2. RECURRENCE SEQUENCES OF FIRST ORDER.

In the preceding paper [4], we considered a linear recurrence formula of order 1. The recurrence sequence $\{h_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ satisfies the following recursion formula:

$$(2.1) \quad h_{n+1} = r \cdot h_n + s,$$

where $r \neq 1$, s and h_1 are positive integers. Then it is proved that Benford's law holds for the sequence $\{h_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ except for the case $r = 10^m$ with m some nonnegative integer.

In this Section, we consider, instead of (2.1), the following recursion formula of first order:

$$(2.2) \quad y_{n+1} = r \cdot y_n + f(n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where r and y_1 are positive integers and the range of $\{f(n)\}$ is also positive integers. Then we obtain

THEOREM 2.1. Let $\{y_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ be an integer sequence

generated by the recursion formula (2.2). If the series
 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) / r^{n-1}$ is convergent, then the sequence $\{ y_n \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$
obeys Benford's law except for the case $r = 10^m$ with m some
nonnegative integer.

REMARK 1. In the case that $f(n) = s$ for every n , (2.2) is identical to (2.1), so that this Theorem 2.1 contains Theorem 3.2 in [4] as a special case.

In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we need again Lemma 3.1 in [4] and further one of van der Corput's difference theorems in [5], p.378.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $\{ x_n \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ be a sequence of real num-
bers. If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n+1} - x_n) = \alpha,$$

where α is irrational, then the sequence $\{ x_n \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is
uniformly distributed mod 1 .

PROOF. From the recursion formula (2.2), we have

$$y_n = r^{n-1} \cdot y_1 + r^{n-2} \cdot f(1) + \dots + r \cdot f(n-2) + f(n-1) .$$

Let us consider the ratio of consecutive terms of $\{ y_n \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad y_{n+1} / y_n &= \{ r^n \cdot y_1 + r^{n-1} \cdot f(1) + r^{n-2} \cdot f(2) + \dots + f(n) \} / \\ &\quad \{ r^{n-1} \cdot y_1 + r^{n-2} \cdot f(1) + r^{n-3} \cdot f(2) + \dots + f(n-1) \} \\ &= \{ r \cdot y_1 + f(1) + f(2)/r + \dots + f(n)/r^{n-1} \} / \\ &\quad \{ y_1 + f(1)/r + f(2)/r^2 + \dots + f(n-1)/r^{n-1} \} \\ &= [r \cdot y_1 + \{ f(1) + f(2)/r + \dots + f(n)/r^{n-1} \}] / \\ &\quad [y_1 + \{ f(1) + f(2)/r + \dots + f(n-1)/r^{n-2} \} / r] . \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$s_{n-1} = f(1) + f(2)/r + \dots + f(n-1)/r^{n-2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= f(1) + f(2)/r + \dots + f(n-1)/r^{n-2} + f(n)/r^{n-1} \\ &= s_{n-1} + f(n)/r^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n-1} = B > 0,$$

since the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)/r^{n-1}$ is convergent. Taking the limit of (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_{n+1} / y_n) &= (r \cdot y_1 + B) / (y_1 + B / r) \\ &= \{ r (r \cdot y_1 + B) \} / (r \cdot y_1 + B) \\ &= r. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\log y_{n+1} - \log y_n \rightarrow \log r, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From Lemma 3.1 in [4], $\log r$ is irrational. Lemma 2.1 asserts that the sequence $\{\log y_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1. Reconsidering the same argument as in the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.1 [4], we complete the proof.

(Q.E.D.)

NOTE 1. If we don't stick ourselves to positive integer sequences, we can obviously relax assumptions in Theorem 2.1. Indeed, r may be a positive constant greater than one and not of the form 10^m for any nonnegative rational number m . y_1 may also be a given positive rational number and the range of $\{f(n)\}$ is nonnegative rational numbers.

3. LINEAR RECURRENCE SEQUENCES OF ORDER 2.

In this Section, we consider a linear recurrence formula $L(2, \underline{a}, \underline{c})$ of order 2. The recurrence sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ satisfies the following recursion formula of order 2:

$$(3.1) \quad u_{n+2} = a_2 \cdot u_{n+1} + a_1 \cdot u_n, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (a_1 \neq 0),$$

and its characteristic equation is

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda^2 = a_2 \cdot \lambda + a_1 \quad (a_1 \neq 0).$$

THEOREM 3.1. If the characteristic equation (3.2) has two real distinct roots α and β with $|\alpha| > |\beta|$ and α and β are not of the form $\pm 10^m$ for any nonnegative integer m , then $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ obeys Benford's law.

PROOF. The n -th term u_n can be represented by

$$(3.3) \quad u_n = A \cdot \alpha^{n-1} + B \cdot \beta^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where A and B are constants depending only on a_1, a_2, u_1 and u_2 . Moreover $\alpha \cdot \beta \neq 0$, since $a_1 \neq 0$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} / u_n &= (A \cdot \alpha^n + B \cdot \beta^n) / (A \cdot \alpha^{n-1} + B \cdot \beta^{n-1}) \\ &= \{A \cdot \alpha + B \cdot (\beta/\alpha)^{n-1} \cdot \beta\} / \{A + B \cdot (\beta/\alpha)^{n-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose further that $|\alpha| > |\beta|$ and $A \neq 0$, then

$$\log u_{n+1} - \log u_n = \log (u_{n+1} / u_n) \rightarrow \log \alpha, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$\log \alpha$ is irrational by Lemma 4.2 in [4] and from Lemma 2.1, the sequence $\{\log u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1.

In the case that $A = 0$, we have

$$u_n = B \cdot \beta^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

β is not of the form $\pm 10^m$ for any nonnegative integer m . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\log u_{n+1} - \log u_n &= \log (u_{n+1} / u_n) \\
&= \log (B \cdot \beta^n) / (B \cdot \beta^{n-1}) \\
&= \log \beta ,
\end{aligned}$$

that is irrational. We derive, again from Lemma 2.1 uniform distribution mod 1 for the sequence $\{ u_n \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$.

For the case $|\alpha| = |\beta|$, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $0 < \alpha = |\alpha| = |\beta|$, that is $\beta = -\alpha$, then we can show also by Lemma 2.1 that $\{ \log u_n \}_{n=1,3,5,\dots}$ and $\{ \log u_n \}_{n=2,4,6,\dots}$ are both uniformly distributed mod 1, from which $\{ \log u_n \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 too. Hence $\{ u_n \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ obeys Benford's law.

(Q.E.D.)

NOTE 2. This Theorem 3.1 is almost identical to Theorem 4.1 in [4] but we gave another proof using Lemma 2.1, one of the van der Corput's difference theorems. The only difference between this Theorem and Theorem 4.1 in [4] is the additional assumption: β is neither of the form $\pm 10^m$ for any nonnegative integer m . This assumption is indispensable when $A = 0$, but necessary only for the case that $A = 0$ in (3.3).

REMARK 2. The condition on α cannot be removed. Consider

$$u_{n+2} = u_n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and $(u_1, u_2) = (c_1, c_2)$, where c_1 and c_2 are arbitrary positive integers. The roots of the corresponding characteristic equation are $\pm 1 = \pm 10^0$, and the sequence $\{ u_n \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is purely periodic with period of length 2. Obviously, the sequence $\{ u_n \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ does not obey Benford's law.

THEOREM 3.2. If the characteristic equation (3.2) has a double real root α which is not of the form $\pm 10^m$ for any nonnegative integer m , then $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ obeys Benford's law.

PROOF. We can express the n -th term u_n by

$$u_n = (A \cdot n + B) \cdot \alpha^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where A and B are constants depending only upon a_1, a_2, u_1 and u_2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \log u_{n+1} - \log u_n \\ &= \log (u_{n+1} / u_n) \\ &= \log \{ (A \cdot n + A + B) \alpha^n \} / \{ (A \cdot n + B) \alpha^{n-1} \} \\ &= \log |A \cdot n + A + B| / |A \cdot n + B| + \log |\alpha| \\ &\quad \rightarrow \log |\alpha|, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\log |\alpha|$ is irrational, repeating the same argument with Lemma 2.1 as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we finish the proof.

(Q.E.D.)

REMARK 3. As a general setting throughout, we agree that $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is a sequence of positive integers. From the recurrence formula (3.1) with \underline{a} and \underline{c} integral vectors, u_n may be a negative integer. In this case, we consider the sequence

$$\{v_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots} = \{|u_n|\}_{n=1,2,\dots},$$

instead of $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ and Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 holds for the sequence $\{v_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$.

REMARK 4. The modulus $|\alpha|$ in Theorem 3.1 and in Theorem 3.2 is greater than one, since $\alpha \cdot \beta = a_1 \neq 0$ is an integer. Then $|u_n|$ tends to infinity as n tends to infinity possibly except

when $A = 0$. Suppose that $A = 0$, $B \neq 0$ and $|u_n| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is an integer sequence, u_n is always zero from a certain point on, which is of no interest. If $A = B = 0$, this sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is the sequence of zero's, which is of no interest either.

Apart from the characteristic equation (3.2), let us consider a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ originally defined by

$$u_n = C \cdot \gamma^{n-1} + D \cdot \delta^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where $C \neq 0$, D , γ and δ are real constants and $0 < |\delta| < |\gamma|$. Then $\{\log |u_n|\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 unless γ is of the form $\pm 10^m$ with m a nonnegative rational number. In this situation, $|\gamma|$ may be smaller than one, i.e. $u_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then by considering the first nonzero digits of u_n , Benford's law holds also for $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ (From Theorem 1 in Persi Diaconis [2]).

If the characteristic equation (3.2) has two complex conjugate roots, α and $\bar{\alpha}$, where \bar{z} is the complex conjugate of z , then the situation is a little confusing. We shall consider only for the case: $a_2 = 0$ and $D = 4a_1 < 0$.

In this case, two complex conjugate roots are

$$\alpha = \sqrt{a_1} = ai, \quad \bar{\alpha} = -ai,$$

by setting $a = \sqrt{-a_1}$. Then

$$(3.4) \quad u_n = A(ai)^{n-1} + (-1)^{n-1} \bar{A}(ai)^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where

$$A = (c_1 \cdot a - c_2 i) / 2a .$$

If we suppose further that A is real, then $u_2 = c_2$ must be zero and from (3.1), $u_{2m} = 0$, $m \geq 1$. Original Benford's law signifies that the distribution of the first significant digits except zero obeys the logarithmic law. Thus we agree to say that Benford's law holds for an integer sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ if the distribution of the first digits of $\{b_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ obeys the logarithmic law, where $\{b_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is the subsequence of all non-zero elements of $\{a_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$.

Direct calculation from (3.4) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= 2\operatorname{Re} A \cdot a^{4k}, \text{ if } n = 4k + 1, \\ &= -2\operatorname{Im} A \cdot a^{4k+1}, \text{ if } n = 4k + 2, \\ &= -2\operatorname{Re} A \cdot a^{4k+2}, \text{ if } n = 4k + 3, \\ &= 2\operatorname{Im} A \cdot a^{4k+3}, \text{ if } n = 4k + 4. \end{aligned}$$

From the above convention, we may suppose, without loss of generality, that $u_n \neq 0$ for arbitrary n . Then the following four sequences $\{\log |u_n|\}_{n=1,5,\dots}$, $\{\log |u_n|\}_{n=2,6,\dots}$, $\{\log |u_n|\}_{n=3,7,\dots}$ and $\{\log |u_n|\}_{n=4,8,\dots}$ are uniformly distributed mod 1 unless a is of the form 10^m for some nonnegative integer m . Thus $\{|u_n|\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ obeys Benford's law.

Considering Remark 3 and the convention above, we get

THEOREM 3.3. If the characteristic equation has two purely imaginary complex roots and a_1 is not of the form -10^m for any nonnegative integer m , then $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ obeys Benford's law.

4. LINEAR RECURRENCE SEQUENCES OF ARBITRARY ORDER.

In this final Section, we treat a general linear recurrence formula $L(d, \underline{a}, \underline{c})$ and the recurrence sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ satisfies the following linear recursion formula of order d :

$$(4.1) \quad u_{n+d} = a_{d-1} \cdot u_{n+d-1} + a_{d-2} \cdot u_{n+d-2} + \dots + a_0 \cdot u_n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and also the initial conditions:

$$(4.2) \quad u_1 = c_1, u_2 = c_2, \dots \text{ and } u_d = c_d,$$

where

$$\underline{a} = (a_{d-1}, a_{d-2}, \dots, a_0) \text{ and } \underline{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_d)$$

are d -dimensional integral vectors. The characteristic equation of (4.1) is

$$(4.3) \quad \lambda^d = a_{d-1} \cdot \lambda^{d-1} + a_{d-2} \cdot \lambda^{d-2} + \dots + a_1 \cdot \lambda + a_0.$$

Analogously to Theorem 3.2, we get the following Theorem 4.1, which we did not consider in the preceding paper [4].

THEOREM 4.1. If the characteristic equation has only one root α of multiplicity d which is not of the form $\pm 10^m$ for any nonnegative integer m , then Benford's law holds for the linear recurrence sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$.

PROOF. By (4.1) and (4.3), we have that

$$u_n = (b_0 + b_1 \cdot n + \dots + b_{d-1} \cdot n^{d-1}) \alpha^{n-1},$$

where b_0, b_1, \dots and b_{d-1} are constants depending only on \underline{a} , \underline{c} and α . From Remark 4, we may suppose that $u_n \neq 0$ for any n . Then

$$u_{n+1} / u_n = [(b_0 + b_1(n+1) + \dots + b_{d-1}(n+1)^{d-1}) \cdot \alpha^n] / [(b_0 + b_1 \cdot n + \dots + b_{d-1} \cdot n^{d-1}) \cdot \alpha^{n-1}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [\{ b_0 + b_1(n+1) + \dots + b_{d-1}(n+1)^{d-1} \} \cdot \alpha] / \\
&\quad (b_0 + b_1 \cdot n + \dots + b_{d-1} \cdot n^{d-1}) \\
&\rightarrow \alpha, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\log |u_{n+1}| - \log |u_n| \rightarrow \log |\alpha|, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The number α is algebraic and therefore $\log |\alpha|$ is an irrational number. Hence Lemma 2.1 is applicable and we deduce that $\{ \log |u_n| \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1, which indicates that the recurrence sequence $\{ u_n \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ obeys Benford's law.

(Q.E.D.)

Hereafter we suppose that the characteristic equation (4.3) has distinct roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ and α_p with multiplicity m_1, m_2, \dots and m_p , respectively. For our convenience, we arrange the roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ and α_p according to the magnitude of their modulus, that is,

$$|\alpha_1| \geq |\alpha_2| \geq \dots \geq |\alpha_p|.$$

It is known that u_n can be represented by

$$(4.4) \quad u_n = b_1(n-1) \cdot \alpha_1^{n-1} + b_2(n-1) \cdot \alpha_2^{n-1} + \dots + b_p(n-1) \cdot \alpha_p^{n-1},$$

where b_1, b_2, \dots and b_p are polynomials of degree at most m_1-1, m_2-1, \dots and m_p-1 , respectively. Under this setting, we obtain

THEOREM 4.2. Suppose that the distinct roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ and α_p of the characteristic equation (4.3) satisfy

$$(4.5) \quad |\alpha_1| > |\alpha_2| \geq |\alpha_3| \geq \dots \geq |\alpha_p| .$$

and α_1 is not of the form $\pm 10^m$ for any nonnegative integer m and further $b_1(n-1)$ in (4.4) is not identically zero. Then the linear recurrence sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ obeys Benford's law.

NOTE 3. This theorem is identical to Theorem 4.3 in [4]. In order to make clear the situation of the roots, we add an adjective "distinct" and delete the assumption that α_1 is real, since (4.5) indicates that α_1 is real.

Another added assumption on $b_1(n-1)$ is not so essential. If $b_j(n-1)$ is the first non-zero polynomial among b_1, b_2, \dots and b_p , then (4.5) may be replaced by

$$|\alpha_j| > |\alpha_{j+1}| \geq \dots \geq |\alpha_p| ,$$

and α_j is required not being of the form $\pm 10^m$ for any nonnegative integer m .

PROOF. The n -th term u_n of the recurrence sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ can be represented by

$$u_n = b_1(n-1) \cdot \alpha_1^{n-1} + b_2(n-1) \cdot \alpha_2^{n-1} + \dots + b_p(n-1) \cdot \alpha_p^{n-1} ,$$

where b_1, b_2, \dots and b_p are polynomials of degree at most m_1-1, m_2-1, \dots and m_p-1 , respectively. Considering Remark 4, we may suppose that $u_n \neq 0$ for any n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} / u_n &= (b_1(n) \cdot \alpha_1^n + b_2(n) \cdot \alpha_2^n + \dots + b_p(n) \cdot \alpha_p^n) / \\ &\quad (b_1(n-1) \cdot \alpha_1^{n-1} + b_2(n-2) \cdot \alpha_2^{n-1} + \dots + b_p(n-1) \cdot \alpha_p^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha_1^n \{ b_1(n) + b_2(n) \cdot (\alpha_2/\alpha_1)^n + \dots + b_p(n) \cdot (\alpha_p/\alpha_1)^n \} / \\
&\quad \alpha_1^{n-1} \{ b_1(n-1) + b_2(n-1) \cdot (\alpha_2/\alpha_1)^{n-1} + \dots + b_p(n-1) \cdot (\alpha_p/\alpha_1)^{n-1} \} \\
&\rightarrow \alpha_1, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\log |u_{n+1}| - \log |u_n| \rightarrow \log |\alpha_1|, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and $\log |\alpha_1|$ is irrational. Hence Lemma 2.1 applies and we obtain that $\{ \log |u_n| \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1. This proves $\{ u_n \}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ obeys Benford's law.

(Q.E.D.)

Now we would like to treat, instead of (4.5), the following case (4.6):

$$(4.6) \quad |\alpha_1| = |\alpha_2| > |\alpha_3| \geq \dots \geq |\alpha_p|.$$

We suppose further that

$$(4.7) \quad \alpha_2 = -\alpha_1.$$

Then we distinguish two cases;

I. α_1 and α_2 are real:

Hence u_n can be represented by

$$\begin{aligned}
u_n &= \{ b_1(n-1) + b_2(n-1) \} \cdot \alpha_1^{n-1} + b_3(n-1) \cdot \alpha_3^{n-1} + \dots + b_p(n-1) \cdot \alpha_p^{n-1}, \\
&\quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd,}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{ b_1(n-1) - b_2(n-1) \} \cdot \alpha_1^{n-1} + b_3(n-1) \cdot \alpha_3^{n-1} + \dots + b_p(n-1) \cdot \alpha_p^{n-1}, \\
&\quad \text{if } n \text{ is even.}
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise as in Theorem 4.2, we may suppose that b_1 and b_2 are non-zero polynomials and $b_1 \neq b_2$. Then, for odd $n = 2m + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& u_{2m+2} / u_{2m} \\
&= [\{b_1(2m+1)+b_2(2m+1)\} \cdot \alpha_1^{2m+1} + b_3(2m+1) \cdot \alpha_3^{2m+1} + \dots + b_p(2m+1) \cdot \alpha_p^{2m+1}] / \\
&\quad [\{b_1(2m-1)+b_2(2m-1)\} \cdot \alpha_1^{2m-1} + b_3(2m-1) \cdot \alpha_3^{2m-1} + \dots + b_p(2m-1) \cdot \alpha_p^{2m-1}] \\
&\quad \rightarrow \alpha_1^2, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\log |u_{2m+2}| - \log |u_{2m}| \rightarrow 2 \cdot \log |\alpha_1|, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If $|\alpha_1|$ is not of the form 10^m for any nonnegative integer m , then $\{\log |u_{2m}|\}_{m=1,2,\dots}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 and by the same argument $\{\log |u_{2m-1}|\}_{m=1,2,\dots}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1. Thus $\{\log |u_n|\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1.

II. α_1 and α_2 are purely imaginary:

In this case, we put

$$\alpha_1 = ai \text{ and } \alpha_2 = -ai,$$

where $a > 0$. Then (4.4) may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \quad u_n &= b_1(n-1) \cdot (ai)^{n-1} + b_2(n-1) \cdot (-ai)^{n-1} + b_3(n-1) \cdot \alpha_3^{n-1} + \dots \\
&\quad + b_p(n-1) \cdot \alpha_p^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|ai| = |-ai| > |\alpha_3| \geq \dots \geq |\alpha_p|,$$

and $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is a sequence of integers, thus

$$b_1(n-1) \cdot (ai)^{n-1} + b_2(n-1) \cdot (-ai)^{n-1}$$

is real for sufficiently large every n , and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_1(n-1) \cdot (ai)^{n-1} + b_2(n-1) \cdot (-ai)^{n-1} \\
&= \overline{b_1(n-1)} \cdot (-ai)^{n-1} + \overline{b_2(n-1)} \cdot (ai)^{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for every n . Thus we get

$$b_1(n-1) = \overline{b_2(n-1)}, \text{ for every } n.$$

As we have seen before, the distribution of $\{\log |u_n|\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ depends only upon $(n-1) \cdot \log |\alpha_1|$. Analogously to the proof of Theorem 3.3, we consider four subsequences of $\{\log |u_n|\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ and if a is not of the form 10^m for any nonnegative integer m , then each of four subsequence of $\{\log |u_n|\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1. Hence $\{\log |u_n|\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 and the original sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ obeys Benford's law, using the convention in the last Section, if necessary. Thus we get

THEOREM 4.3. Suppose that the distinct roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ and α_p of the characteristic equation (4.3) satisfy (4.6) and (4.7) and α_1 is not of the form $\pm 10^m$ for any nonnegative integer m and further $b_1(n-1)$ and $b_2(n-1)$ in (4.4) are neither identically zero nor identically equal mutually. Then the linear recurrence sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ obeys Benford's law.

REMARK 5. We fix the base of logarithms to be 10, but if we change the base to an arbitrary positive integer $g > 1$, our arguments still remain valid by exchanging the assumption on α_1 from 10^m to g^m .

REFERENCES

- [AA] Adhikari, A. K., and Sarkar, B. P., Distribution of most significant digit in certain functions whose arguments are random variables. Sankhyā Ser. B, 30 (1968), 47-58.
- [BB] Adhikari, A. K., Some results on the distribution of the most significant digit. Sankhyā Ser. B, 31 (1969), 413-420.
- [CC] Adler, R. L., and Konheim, A. G., Solution of Problem 4999. Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 218-219.
- [DD] Arnold, V. I., and Avez, A., Problèmes ergodiques de la mécanique classique. Gauthier-Villars (1967).
- [EE] Benford, F., The law of anomalous numbers. Proc. Amer. Phil. Soc., 78, (1938), 551-572.
- [FF] Brown, J. L., and Duncan R. L., Modulo one uniform distribution of the sequence of logarithms of certain recursive sequences. Fibonacci Quart., 8 (1970), 482-486.
- [GG] Duncan, R. L., An application of uniform distribution to the Fibonacci numbers. Fibonacci Quart., 5 (1967), 137-140.
- [HH] Flehinger, B. J., On the probability that a random integer has initial digit A. Amer. Math. Monthly, 73 (1966), 1056-1031.
- [II] Furry, W. S., and Hurwitz, H., Distribution of numbers and distribution of significant figures. Nature, 155 (1945), 52-53.
- [JJ] Goto, K., and Kano, T., Uniform distribution of some special sequences. Proc. Japan Acad., 61, Ser. A (1985), 83-86.
- [KK] Goudsmit, S. A., and Furry, W. S., Significant figures of numbers in statistical tables. Nature, 154 (1944), 800-801.

- [LL] Hamming, R. W., On the distribution of numbers. Bell System Tech, J., 49 (1970), 1609-1625.
- [MM] Kiss, P., and Tichy, R. F., Distribution of the ratios of the terms of a second order linear recurrence. to appear in Indag. Math.
- [NN] Kuipers, L., Remarks on a paper by R. L. Duncan concernig the uniform distribution mod 1 of the sequence of logarithms of the Fibonacci numbers . Fibonacci Quart., 7 (1969), 465-466, 473.
- [OO] Kuipers, L., and Shiue, J.-S., Remark on a paper by Duncan and Brown on the sequence of logarithms of certain recursive sequences. Fibonacci Quart., 11 (1973), 292-294.
- [PP] Nagasaka, K., On Benford's law. Ann. Inst. Stat. Math., 36, Ser. A (1984), 337-352.
- [QQ] Nagasaka, K., amd Shiue, J.-S., Benford's law for linear recurrence sequences. Preprint.
- [RR] Newcomb, S., Note on the frequency of the use of the different digits in natural numbers . Amer. J. Math., 4 (1881), 39-40.
- [SS] Pinkham, R. S., On the distribution of first significant digits. Ann. Math. Stat., 32 (1961), 1223-1230.
- [TT] Raimi, R. A., On the distribution of first significant figures. Amer. Math. Monthly, 76 (1969), 342-348.
- [UU] Rauzy, G., Propriétés statistiques de suites arithmétiques . Le Mathématicien, 15, P. U. F. (1976).
- [VV] Schatte P., Zur Verteilung der Mantisse der Gleitkommadarstellung einer Zufallsgrösse . Zeit. f. Angew. Math. und Mech., 53 (1973), 553-565.
- [WW] Schatte, P., Estimates for the H_∞ -uniform distribution. Preprint.

- [XX] Weaver, W., Lady Luck: The Theory of Probability . Double-day Anchor Series, New York (1963).
- [1] Brown, Jr. J. L. and Duncan, R. L., Modulo one uniform distribution of the sequence of logarithms of certain recursive sequences. Fibonacci Quart. 8 (1970), 482-486.
- [2] Diaconis, P., The distribution of leading digits and uniform distribution mod 1. Ann. Prob. 5 (1977), 72-81.
- [3] Kuipers, L. and Shiue, J.-S., Remark on a paper by Duncan and Brown on the sequence of logarithms of certain recursive sequences. Fibonacci Quart. 11 (1973), 292-294.
- [4] Nagasaka, K., On Benford's law . Ann. Inst. Stat. Math. 36, Part A (1984), 337-352.
- [5] Van der Corput, J. G., Diophantische Ungleichungen . Acta Math., 56 (1931), 373-456.